



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,533	09/28/2001	Russell F. Mcknight	P1733US00	4798
²⁴³³³ GATEWAY, I	7590 05/03/2007 NC		EXAM	INER
ATTN: Patent Attorney			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
610 GATEWAY DRIVE MAIL DROP Y-04 N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceedings.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0	09/966,533	MCKNIGHT, RUSSELL F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William J. Deane	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNI 6(a). In no event, however, may a ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Experiments.	action is non-final. ce except for formal mat					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-27 is/are pending in the application Papers 4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-27 is/are pending in the application Papers Claim(s) 1 and 4-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or pending p	n from consideration. election requirement. epted or b) □ objected to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign and All bold Some * cold None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in A ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4 - 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,631,188 (Sands) in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0131565 (Scheuring et al.) and U.S. Patent No.5,625,683 (Nazanin et al.).

With respect to claims 1, 4,10 - 12, 14 - 15,19 and 22 - 27 note that Sands teaches a caller ID unit for identifying caller information associated with an incoming call (see Fig. 5), and scheduling means for a call-back (Abstract).

With respect to the caller ID means it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the caller ID device into the phone 12 as such only entail putting two separate devices used together and incorporating them into one device.

With respect to the reminder circuit, Sands and Scheuring teach the claimed limitations except for the prompting unit means to remind one to callback a caller. However note that Nazanin et al. teach such (see Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a means as taught by Nazanin et al. into the Sands/Scheuring systems as an obvious added-value.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2614

With further respect to the scheduling means and the limitations of claims 4, 14, 19 and 22 – 23, note Fig. 1 of Scheuring et al. and paragraphs 0077 and 0078 of Scheuring et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a scheduling means as taught by Scheuring et al. into the Sands system as such would only entail the substitution of one scheduling means for another.

With respect to claim 5, note Abstract.

With respect to claim 6, note Abstract and controls (40) of Sands.

With respect to claim 7, note telephone 12 of Sands.

With respect to claim 8, note storage device 26 of Sands.

With respect to claim 9, note storage device 28 of Sands.

With respect to claim 13, note elements 42 and 44 of Sands.

With respect to claims 16 - 18, note telephone 12 and caller ID unit 22. The other means cited would be obvious in view of Sands and the other art cited prior art cited throughout the prosecution of the case.

With respect to claim 21, note that, as broadly claimed, telephone 12 is a portable handheld device.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sands and Scheuring and U.S. Patent No. 5,625,683 (Nazanin et al.). Sands and Scheuring teach the claimed limitations except for the prompting unit means to remind one to callback a caller. However note that Nazanin et al. teach such (see Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a means

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2614

as taught by Nazanin et al. into the Sands/Scheuring systems as an obvious added-

value.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4 - 27 have been considered

but are not deemed persuasive to any error in the rejection above.

See Arguments in the last Office Action.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the

references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by

combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention

where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the

references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in

the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re

Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, see arguments in

the last Office Action. In addition it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

combine into one device 2 separate devices. This is in particularly true when one

reference shows both items. That is, a phone having caller ID unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484.

In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number

(703) 273-8300.

29April2007

Page 4